IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

	e	
ROBERT LEE HELM, JR.	§	
Petitioner,	§	
vs.	§	No. 3-08-CV-0285-M
NATHANIEL QUARTERMAN, Director	§	
Texas Department of Criminal Justice	§	
Correctional Institutions Division	§	
Respondent.	§	
ORDER OF THE COURT ON THE I Considering the record in this case and the ab Appellate Procedure 22(b) and 28 U.S.C. § 2253 (c), the	ove recomn	nendation, and pursuant to Federal Rule of
<u>IFP STATUS</u> :		
() the party appealing is GRANTED in fo	огта раире	ris status on appeal.
(X) the party appealing is proceeding <i>in forma pauperis</i> .		
() the party appealing is DENIED in form	na pauperis	status on appeal

)	the Court certifies nursuan	t to Fed. R. App. P. 24(a) and 28 U.S.C. § 1915 (a)(3), that
,		good faith. In support of this finding, the Court adopts and
	11	e Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation entered
	in this case on	Based upon the Magistrate Judge's findings,
	this Court finds that the	appeal presents no legal points of arguable merit and is
	therefore frivolous. See Ho	arkins v. Roberts, 935 F. Supp. 871, 873 (S. D. Miss. 1996)
	(citing Howard v. King, 70	7 F. 2d 215, 219-20 (5 th Cir. 1983)).

() the person appealing is not a pauper;

for the following reasons:

the person appealing has not complied with the requirements of Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure and /or 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(1) as ordered by the Court. (See Notice of Deficiency and Order entered on ______).

COA:

() a Certificate of Appealability is GRANTED on the following issues:

a Certificate of Appealability is DENIED. The Court hereby adopts and incorporates by reference the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation filed in this case on May 27, 2008, which were adopted by the District Court on July 15, 2008, in support of its finding that Petitioner has failed to make a substantial showing that reasonable jurists could conclude that the habeas corpus petition is not barred by the statute of limitations. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d); *Slack v. McDaniel*, 529 U.S. 473, 120 S. Ct. 1595, 1602, 146 L. Ed. 2d 542 (2000).

SIGNED this 3rd day of September, 2008.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS

dara MG Lynn